1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CASE NO. C17-1483JLR DANIEL DURBAN. 10 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 11 v. 12 GOLDEN STATE WATER 13 COMPANY, 14 Defendant. 15 Plaintiff Daniel Durban brought this suit against Defendant Golden State Water 16 Company ("GSW"), alleging that GSW "illegally placed a contaminant[] in their water 17 system," causing Mr. Durban to become ill. (Compl. (Dkt. # 1) at 2.) Mr. Durban filed 18 an identical complaint against GSW on October 23, 2017. See Durban v. Golden St. 19 Water Co., No. C17-1570MJP, Dkt. # 1 (W.D. Wash.). The court dismissed this later suit 20 because venue was improper; Mr. Durban was urged to refile the suit in the proper 21 district. See id., Dkt. # 4 at 1-2. 22

The same seems to be true here. GSW—a California company—does not reside in the Western District of Washington. (Compl. at 1); 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). Moreover, the events complained of seems to have occurred in California, not Washington. (See id. at 2-3); 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2). If venue is improper, then the court "shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Accordingly, the court ORDERS Mr. Durban to show cause why venue is proper in this matter under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) within ten (10) days of the date of this order. If Mr. Durban fails to respond or otherwise demonstrate proper venue, the court will dismiss the action without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Dated this \mathfrak{A} day of November, 2017. JAMES L. ROBARŤ United States District Judge